DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
(Imerican) Imus (mp	
Plaintiff(s)	
	CIVIL ACTION
v.	NO.04-12317-WG
Frime Communication, LL	C etal
Defendant(s)	
ORDE	R OF REFERENCE
•	FOR
ALTERNATIV	E DISPUTE RESOLUTION
After consultation with counsel and a	after consideration of the various alternative dispute
resolution programs (ADR) available, I find th	nis matter appropriate for ADR and accordingly, refer
this case to ADR - 3/2	605 for the following ADR
program:	
EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION	ON MEDIATION
MINI-TRIAL	SUMMARY JURY TRIAL
	SPECIAL MASTER
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
PRIVATE ALTERNATIVE DISP	PUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM
The entitional of the time and also	as designated for the proposition assumed the disected
	ce designated for the proceeding, counsel are directed
	priate settlement authority and to provide any written
documentation which may be required for the	e particular ADR program. If counsel is engaged on
trial or has any scheduling conffict and a con	tinuance becomes necessary, the provider of the ADR
program and other counsel should be advised	d of the conflict immediately.
1 /	
2/9/05	
/DĂTE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
<u>CA</u> :	SE CATEGORY
Antitrust	Bankruptcy
Civil Rights	Contract
Copyright/Trademark/Patent	Environmental
ERISA	FELA
Labor Relations	Medical Malpractice
Personal Injury	Product Liability
Sharahaldar Disauta	Canal Caduring

(adrrefl.ord - 3/94)

Other